| 1 | BEFORE THE INDIANA STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING 410 IAC 6-8.2, A RULE TO ESTABLISH THE REQUIREMENTS |
| 5 | PERTAINING TO THE DISPOSITION OF EXCREMENTAL AND SEWAGE MATTER THROUGH THE DESIGN, |
| 6 | INSTALLATION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION OF COMMERCIAL FACILITY, |
| 7 | RESIDENTIAL, CLUSTER, AND EXPERIMENTAL AND ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGY ONSITE SEWAGE SYSTEMS |
| 8 | |
| 9 | CAUSE NO. 03-04 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | PROCEEDINGS |
| 12 | in the above-captioned matter, before Jan B. |
| 13 | Berg, Hearing Officer, taken before me, Lindy |
| 14 | L. Meyer, Jr., a Notary Public in and for the |
| 15 | State of Indiana, County of Shelby, at the |
| 16 | Seymour High School Auditorium, 1350 West |
| 17 | Second Street, Seymour, Indiana, on Wednesday, |
| 18 | August 6, 2003 at 10:09 o'clock a.m. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | William F. Daniels, RPR/CP CM d/b/a ACCURATE REPORTING OF INDIANA |
| 22 | 12922 Brighton Avenue Carmel, Indiana 46032 |
| 23 | (317) 848-0088 |

| 1 | APPEARANCES: |
|----|---|
| 2 | ON BEHALF OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH: Burton L. Garten |
| 3 | Chris Bourke Alan Dunn |
| 4 | Aldii Duiiii |
| 5 | SPEAKERS PRESENT: |
| 6 | Al Donaldson Pat Harrison |
| 7 | Greg Furnish Ralph Reed |
| 8 | Marlys Pedtke John Bowen |
| 9 | John Hudson Lonn Stuckwish |
| 10 | Thomas Greemann Mark Spurgeon |
| 11 | Mark Spargeon |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |

| 1 | 10:09 o'clock a.m. |
|----|---|
| 2 | August 6, 2003 |
| 3 | THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning |
| 4 | everyone. We're ready to get started this |
| 5 | morning. This is a public hearing before the |
| 6 | Indiana State Department of Health on the 6th |
| 7 | day of August, 2003 at 10:00 a.m. in the |
| 8 | Seymour High School Auditorium, 1350 West |
| 9 | Second Street, Seymour, Indiana, and is |
| 10 | docketed before the Executive Board of the |
| 11 | Indiana State Department of Health as Cause |
| 12 | No. 03-04, a Rule to Establish the Requirements |
| 13 | Pertaining to the Disposition of Excremental |
| 14 | and Sewage Matter through the Design, |
| 15 | Installation, Construction, Maintenance, and |
| 16 | Operation of Commercial Facility, Residential, |
| 17 | Cluster, and Experimental and Alternative |
| 18 | Technology On-site Sewage Systems. |
| 19 | Notice of time and place of this |
| 20 | hearing was given as provided by law by |
| 21 | publishing on June 24th, 2003 in the |

Indianapolis Star; June 25th, 2003 in the Seymour Tribune; and by publishing in the

Indiana Register dated July 1st, 2003. Proof
of publication of this notice has been received
by the Indiana State Department of Health, and
the notice and proof are now incorporated in
the record of this cause by reference and
placed in the official files of the Department.

My name is Jan Berg; it's Berg. I

My name is Jan Berg; it's Berg. I have been appointed Hearing Officer to serve in this cause. There are sign-in sheets available outside the auditorium. Anyone wishing to be shown as appearing today on the record or anyone who would like to speak today needs to fill out one of these sheets and provide it to me before you speak or before you leave.

Oral statements will be heard, and written statements may be handed to me or mailed to me at my office at 1010 North High School Road, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46224.

Written comments need to be postmarked no later

than August 13th, 2003. All written and verbal comments will be reported in my report on this hearing to the Indiana State Department of Health.

5

1 I need to remind you today that I am not the decision-making factor in this thing. 2 The only thing I do is report your comments to 3 4 the Board of Health, so you should address your comments to the Executive Board of the Board of 5 6 Health. Each person who speaks for the record 7 today is requested to come to the podium on the stage, to please clearly state your name and 8 identify who you represent, please also spell 9 10 your name. Will the official reporter designated 11 12 for this hearing please raise your right hand? 13 (Reporter sworn.) 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Okay. I have the sheets that people 15 have filled out at this time. I'm just going 16 to go randomly. If you've changed your mind 17

and don't want to speak, if someone's covered
your point, just let me know you don't wish to
speak at this time.

Al Donaldson.

MR. DONALDSON: Do you want me to
speak from up there?

6

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, please. 2 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Al, there's steps 3 on the left. MR. DONALDSON: Over here? 5 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes, sir. 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Making this 7 hard, aren't we? 8 MR. DONALDSON: Can you hear me? AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes. 9 10 MR. DONALDSON: Okay. I'm Al 11 Donaldson. I'm a soil scientist. I reside in 12 Brown County. My comments will be on the green book. I've not read the material that's 13 available on the table back there this morning. 14 15 The first comment I had -- have -- what

16 is the actual status of this revision? Some of the counties are using some of the provisions 17 18 of it and say they have to, when we -- I didn't 19 think we'd passed it yet, and if that's true, 20 why are we having this public hearing, except 21 that it's required by law? And I think the State Board should 22 listen to some of the things that IOPA and the 23

7

wastewater committee have been telling them about the various provisions of this proposed rule. On page four, line 121, a question about 3 4 who signs the site evaluation report. Now, is 5 that different from the soil profile description? 6 7 And on page five, line 146, comments to -- about GPS and measuring it from a known 8 9 point and doing it to scale. The GPS is a 10 measurement of a particular point. That's where you are. You shouldn't have to measure 11 any scale, any other drawings to any other 12 13 points. That's probably the only thing that's

14 permanent out here on the landscape. Trees, markers, flags, any kinds of posts, buildings 15 16 can all be moved by machinery. 17 Then on page five, lines 156 through 18 170, dealing with the depth that the soil borings need to be made and the references to 19 20 the depth of the perimeter drain, it sounds like you need to know the depths wherever the 21 perimeter drain goes before you know what the 22 soil type is, and that's kind of backwards, I 23

8

1 believe.

8

9

10

11

The Nitrate Leaching Index, a series of pages in the back that lists many counties and a soil mapping unit, it isn't consistent. We have the same soil in different counties that -- it may be included in there, and the next county is not included.

And as far as the format we use for preparing soil reports, I think it should be the same. Different counties have different pieces of paper they want filled out, and if

12 you work there, you need to fill it out the way 13 they want it filled out, and then the state has 14 a different format. We should all use one 15 format. And I would add here, this would be an 16 17 excellent time to begin using the metric system 18 for all of our measurements. I don't think the rest of the world is going to change to join 19 us, so perhaps we should join them. 20 Thank you. 21 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, 23 Mr. Donaldson.

9

| 2 | MR. SPURGEON: Can I have more |
|---|---|
| 3 | time? I'm going through my notes. |
| 4 | THE HEARING OFFICER: Certainly. |
| 5 | I'll come back to you. |
| 6 | Do you have some written comments, too? |
| 7 | MR. DONALDSON: Yes. |
| 8 | THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. |
| 9 | Pat Harrison? |

Mark Spurgeon.

10 MS. HARRISON: Good morning. I'm not a Philadelphia lawyer, nor I intend to be, 11 12 and I read through some of this jargon and it 13 kind of confuses me. But I am a taxpayer in 14 Indiana, and I am doing a development in Memphis, and we do have approval of a hundred 15 16 lots, which we intend to put septic tanks on, and I've got a lot of money at stake, and a lot 17 of people would like to have homes there. And 18 when I read this, it sort of scares me, because 19 I'm thinking --20 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me a 22 minute. 23 MS. HARRISON: -- we have property

10

1 rights, and -2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me a
3 minute. I also talk very quickly -4 MS. HARRISON: Okay.
5 THE HEARING OFFICER: -- but I'm
6 having a really hard time understanding you.
7 If you could slow down just a little bit --

8 MS. HARRISON: Okay. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: -- it would 10 help. MS. HARRISON: It concerns me, 11 12 because we want the lots to be larger and less 13 dense, and we intended to go forward with septics rather than the smaller-lot, 14 high-density sewer. We feel like the rural 15 community and -- if it were put in properly, 16 and from what I'm reading of this, it's going 17 to make it near impossible to be able to afford 18 19 the kind of system that we will have to put in, and the regulation seems very inadequate as far 20 21 as who's actually going to be controlling the 22 situation. 23 Our local health department, of which I

11

was a member until recently, is not adequate to
handle this kind of undertaking, and our soil
seems to be -- we've had some fragipan,
et cetera, and we've used qualified engineers
to do our work to where I think we should be

- 6 able to put our septics in.
- 7 The new septic regulation, I don't
- 8 think, has been tested, and they're forcing us,
- 9 and I think this will obviously cost more to
- 10 our purchasers of our lots and just about make
- it impossible to develop unless you're on
- 12 sewers, and I'm definitely against this until
- I -- until it becomes clear. And I am a member
- of Southern Indiana Home Builders Association,
- and I'm on -- the state vice-president of our
- 16 area.
- 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank
- 18 you very much.
- 19 Greg Furnish.
- MR. FURNISH: Greg Furnish,
- 21 Southern Indiana, F u r n i s h, member of the
- 22 Home Builders Association of Southern Indiana,
- 23 area vice-president for the Home Builders

- 1 Association. With the little amount of people
- 2 here talking, I'm going to keep it brief.

these decisions looks long and hard and makes a 5 very educated decision and takes the input from 6 the soil scientists, the -- possibly the Home 7 Builders Association, the Board of Realtors, 8 and puts a well-rounded package together, because as derived right now, affordable 9 10 housing in Southern Indiana is going to be a serious situation if this is allowed to pass. 11 And many, many people in my area, and 12 more so in the northern region of Indiana, rely 13 on septic systems for housing, and this is 14 15 going to totally create a real large demand 16 on -- eliminate affordable housing as a whole. 17 So, please, take their time, listen to the people that are presenting some facts, and make 18 19 a very educated decision and do not rush into 20 anything. 21 Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 22

13

1 MS. HARRISON: He's not here.

Kenny Smith.

2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ralph Reed. 3 MR. REED: Hello. My name's Ralph 4 Reed. I represent Reed Excavating and Septic 5 Services out of Brown County, and I was on the 6 Wastewater Management Committee, spent several hours up there trying to help make rule changes, and most of it that has been changed 8 by the Wastewater Committee we can deal with, I 9 think. 10 The main thing that my concern is, is 11 monitoring experimental systems. If my 12 13 calculations is right, to monitor a system, as the rule is written now, it would cost \$120,000 14 15 in sampling alone to get the system approved, which this would put me out of business, or 16 17 anybody that's putting in experimental 18 technology. Another thing that I think's very 19 important is the state licensing for all 20 21 installers. Instead of having to get a license in each county, I think we ought to get our 22 license from the state. 23

And that's all I have. Thanks. 1 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Marlys Pedtke. It's Marlys, though, 3 4 isn't it? I've said it wrong every time. MS. PEDTKE: I'll just go ahead and 5 give you a copy right now. 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. MS. PEDTKE: Good morning, Madam 8 Hearing Officer and ladies and gentlemen in the 9 10 auditorium. Thank you for conducting three 11 hearings around the state on this proposed rule for on-site septic systems. I am Marlys 12 Pedtke, P e d t k e, of Indianapolis, staff for 13 the Indiana Builders Association, and I am 14 15 presenting the comments for IBA membership 16 today. I respectfully request that these 17 comments I am making today be provided to the Executive Board of the State Department of 18 19 Health, and it's the Executive Board to whom I address these comments. 20 The Indiana Builders Association 21 respectfully requests that the Board re-call 22 23 LSA document 02-321, Proposed Rule for On-site

```
1
        Septic Systems. IBA wants to be a part of the
 2
        team that provides Indiana with regulation for
        on-site septic systems. We studied the
        then-to-be-proposed rule last year. We
        testified before you in January that this rule
 5
        was not ready for preliminary adoption.
 6
                We worked throughout the spring with
 7
        ISDH staff and others, notably, Wastewater
 8
        Management Committee, to better understand the
        proposed rule and to rephrase many technical
10
11
        sections so that those sections could provide
12
        the regulation that was intended.
13
                More recently, we met with ISDH and
        Board, leadership and staff, to discuss and
14
15
        better understand the legal basis as well as
16
        the need for the rule. And most recently, we
        have itemized our concerns with this proposed
17
        rule, over 100 suggested changes on our part
18
        alone, at the first two public hearings.
19
20
        Wastewater Management Committee has submitted a
21
        greater amount of changes, and all testimony to
22
        date has asked for additional changes.
23
                IBA requests the proposed rule be
```

re-called because of numerous technical 1 concerns still present within the proposed 2 rule, and the rule's significant fiscal impact 3 to the state's economy and to local government. 4 Others have asked that this rule be withdrawn. 5 If you adopt this rule, you will: Not 6 protect or improve the state's quality of groundwater; cause an enormous financial impact 8 on the public and local governments; require 9 10 technology that is very expensive and cannot quarantee compliance; be in conflict with the 11 12 state's groundwater quality standard; strike a 13 serious blow to housing affordability throughout Indiana; render local health 14 15 departments legally vulnerable; circumvent due process with nonspecific future requirements; 16 devalue Indiana soil through the misuse of 17 agricultural evaluation tools; make it 18 19 impossible to comply with the state's septic 20 rule; discourage new technology; require the 21 use of specific brand names; and break your own 22 enabling law, which requires that you adopt 23 reasonable rules.

```
Do not do this. Re-call this proposed
 1
        rule and insist that all stakeholders work
 2
        together to resolve these issues. What is to
 3
        prevent us from trying? We've succeeded
        together before.
                Thank you.
 6
                    THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
 7
 8
                    MS. PEDTKE: Thank you.
 9
                    THE HEARING OFFICER: John Bowen,
10
        you indicated you might like to speak.
                    MR. BOWEN: I'm John Bowen, and I'm
11
        a soil scientist based in Seymour, Indiana, and
12
13
        Bowen is spelled B o w e n.
                It appears from what I've read under
14
        the new rule that the regulations will be more
15
        restrictive, primarily to the homeowner and
16
17
        landowner. It may require larger lots. It
18
        looks like there's a question on affordability
        to the landowner, and in some sites, a lot that
19
20
        is able to be built on a septic system
21
        installed at this time would not be suitable
22
        for a septic under the proposed rules.
```

| 1 | State Board of Health is: Are these changes |
|----|---|
| 2 | based on scientific data? Under the current |
| 3 | rule, I guess another question that I have is: |
| 4 | Are systems failing currently at an |
| 5 | unsatisfactory rate? It from what I have |
| 6 | seen as a soil scientist, we have competent, |
| 7 | professional, certified soil scientists, |
| 8 | installers and local health department staff. |
| 9 | I have not seen information through the |
| 10 | local health departments that I've worked with |
| 11 | that we have significant problems. With a new |
| 12 | rule change, that will not eliminate any |
| 13 | problems that we might have. We will always |
| 14 | continue to have problems with septic systems. |
| 15 | It relies on the soil scientists, installer and |
| 16 | the health department staff to do their job |
| 17 | properly. |
| 18 | There are some things that happen out |
| 19 | there where we will continue to have failed |
| 20 | systems from time to time, but I guess, in my |

opinion, I don't see an unsatisfactory rate of failing systems under the new law -- or under the proposed new rule, excuse me.

19

1 I don't know if we have an opportunity 2 to ask questions today, but if our local health 3 department staff person has an opportunity to speak, I would be interested in his 4 observations. Do we have problems above and 5 6 beyond what might be expected with systems that have been installed since the current rule has been in effect? 8 9 And in my closing statement, I would ask the State Department of Health and the 10 officials that are participating in new rules 11 changes to drop those and continue on with the 12 13 current rule that we have. 14 Thank you. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. MR. BOWEN: You're welcome. 16 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: There are some staff members for the Indiana State 18

Department of Health today -
MR. BOWEN: Okay.

THE HEARING OFFICER: -- here.

Staff, would you raise your hand or let

people know where you are in the audience?

20

1 They might be able to answer some of 2 your questions. Thank you. Mr. Spurgeon, are you ready? 3 MR. SPURGEON: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. MR. SPURGEON: I'm going to need 6 7 just a second to get ready. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Take 8 your time. 9 MR. SPURGEON: I need a table. Do 10 11 we have a table? THE HEARING OFFICER: You can share 12 a corner of this one. 13 While he's getting ready, I don't have 14 15 anyone else that I have a piece of paper 16 indicating that they would like to speak today. Was there anyone else here who would like to
make a comment?

MR. STUCKWISH: I'll make one.

MR. HUDSON: Yes, I'd like to make
one.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

23

MR. SPURGEON: Could someone

```
1
       else --
                   THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you need
 3
       more time to --
                   MR. SPURGEON: Yeah, that'd be
 4
 5
        great if I could just -- because I have to
       reverse this.
 6
 7
                   THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. If
       you'd like to come up, sir, you may.
 8
 9
                   MR. HUDSON: I filled out one.
                   THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hudson;
10
       is that right? I'm sorry; I put you in the
11
12
       wrong stack.
                   MR. HUDSON: My name is John
13
14
       Hudson, and I represent Hudson Concrete
```

15 Products, manufacturing concrete septic tanks. 16 I am the owner of that company, have been there 17 37 years, and my father about 25 years prior to 18 that. My comments today are concerning more 19 20 in the technical aspects of septic systems, and 21 mainly septic tanks. I don't tend to be a 22 negative person. I think part of what we're

23

10

11

here today for is to represent our thoughts and

22

- 1 ideas, and I feel I'm a positive person, so I'm trying to represent some things I might not 2 3 agree with, but keeping a positive outlook, at least. 4 One question that comes to mind: The 5 connectors on septic tanks, that I do agree 7 with, however, but we incorporate a method on our tanks that allows for the filter -- and 8 we've been using filters for over two years --9
- The method by which is recommended by

inspection hole.

to be maintained directly underneath the outlet

13 the state now that speaks of the connectors and 14 a clamp, and then leaving that up to the 15 excavating company to hold the pipe into place and try to maintain -- that the filter would be 16 directly underneath of that outlet inspection 17 plug, and I feel that that would be a drastic 18 19 mistake, allowing for settling and movement, 20 and then when the homeowner or the septic 21 cleaner is there and the filter is no longer 22 underneath the inspection hole, that would 23 create difficulty.

| 1 | I suggest some sort of device that |
|----|---|
| 2 | would secure that filter at all times, and not |
| 3 | that we're unique in that, but we incorporate a |
| 4 | mounting system that where we cast in place |
| 5 | a fitting in the tank that keeps the filter |
| 6 | intact at all times, and if that if we were |
| 7 | to change to a connector, that would be |
| 8 | impossible to do. |
| 9 | Another question I have, I think I read |
| 10 | clearly, is, for some of you fellows: Is the |

11 minimum size of the septic tank under this new 12 rule proposed, will that be 1250 gallons? Does 13 it go from 900 gallons to 1250 gallons? MR. BOURKE: (Nodded head yes.) 14 MR. HUDSON: Okay. Realizing that 15 16 is a significant move, and I agree with that, 17 but on behalf of all of the manufacturers in 18 the State of Indiana, I think most of us have 19 many, many 1,000-gallon septic tank forms and very few of the larger forms -- well, we have 20 21 larger forms, but not as many. This would be 22 an extremely expensive adjustment, and if that is the case, well, so be it, but I wonder if 23

24

they might consider going from 900 gallons to

1,000 gallons for a minimum size of that tank.

Like some of the other fellows -- or

other speakers have represented today, and done

very well, I think the bottom line is the cost

of staying in business and the cost to the end

user. I think we need to look at that. I know

that some of the changes on my behalf would be

9 probably five, six hundred thousand dollars, 10 and -- if I continue to stay in business. If 11 not, I've got myself and nine other people out of work. So be it. 12 13 Thank you. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you 15 very much. Lonn Stuckwish. 16 MR. STUCKWISH: Lonn Stuckwish, 17 representing realtors here in Jackson County 18 19 and homeowners. Some of the concerns that I have as I 20 look through this and talk to other people and 21 22 tried to glean a little bit of information, it 23 seems like a lot of this may even be being used

25

with unproven technology, new technology,

perhaps, that we don't even know if it's going

to do the job.

In some cases, we're in situations

where we've seen septics and that that have

been installed in the last couple of years, and

7 now we're reselling homes and we're saying 8 those homes or those septic systems are not up 9 to proper standards. I'm concerned what's 10 going to happen if something like this is implemented. Are we going to see the same kind 11 12 of thing again? 13 It looks like estimates and that have 14 been running from six to seventeen thousand in 15 additional costs for a system of this type. If 16 you use a medium price, somewhere maybe around 17 ten thousand, that's a pretty large hardship on 18 the average homeowner. In this county, in Jackson County, our home average sales price is 19 20 still somewhat under \$90,000. 21 When you look at that, we're talking 22 about an increase of 10 to 12 percent in a

home. That might be able to be factored in

23

26

with new construction to some degree, but it's

still going to be a great hardship. What about

existing homes, homes that are found to be in

failure? What's going to happen? An \$80,000

5 home system's in failure. They have to spend 6 \$10,000. Those people do not have \$10,000, 7 generally, to spend to get a system in place. The one bright spot -- there's not been 8 9 many in our economy lately, but one of the 10 bright spots has been home sales. Home sales 11 generate income in many ways, not just with the 12 home sale itself. Those people, in turn, a lot 13 of times are using remodeling, heating and air 14 people, buying new carpet, new home decoration. 15 It does generate a lot of income to our 16 economy. We have got a lot of people, because of 17 18 low interest rates in the past couple of years, 19 that have been able to afford new homes that 20 wouldn't have before. Adding this kind of a 21 cost, or the potential of this kind of cost,

are going to take those people directly out of

the market right now. That will substantially

22

23

- reduce the market, and thus have -- in my
- 2 opinion -- have a very negative effect on not

- 3 only our local but the state economy as well.
- 4 The other thing, as we look at it and
- 5 we have that potential cost, what will it
- 6 actually do, a little more specifically, even
- 7 in devaluing the home market? We can see that
- 8 very, very strong possibility of that happening
- 9 as well.
- 10 So, I have some real major concerns
- 11 with moving ahead with this kind of a rule --
- 12 proposed rule change, because I am concerned.
- We've seen -- already in the last year or two,
- we've seen increased insurance for homeowners,
- we have seen property taxes, I'm sure people
- are fairly aware of some of the changes that
- 17 are taking place there. Interest rates now are
- 18 slightly back on the move. Compound that with
- this kind of a change, adding maybe \$10,000, on
- the average, to a home. What have you done to
- our local and state economy?
- THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
- Is there anyone else wishing to speak

today? If you would like to come up. Okay. 2 Thank you. 3 MR. GREEMANN: Hi. I'm Tom Greemann. I'm a realtor, and I just wanted to 4 point out that Indiana already heads the nation 5 in home foreclosures, and if a home is worth, 7 say, \$70,000 and the people need to sell it and 8 they have to come up with ten to twenty 9 thousand dollars to upgrade a septic system, we very well might have another foreclosed vacant 10 11 home in Indiana. This could lead to a huge 12 number of additional foreclosed and vacant homes. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 14 15 Mr. Spurgeon? MR. SPURGEON: Hi. My name is Mark 16 17 Spurgeon, a farmer in Reddington. I have a 187 acres out there. On the back of my farm, I 18

have a sign that was produced by some concerned

individuals from Jennings County. It is the

Jennings County Rural Sewer District, and it

has a simple "No" on it, in the form of a

circle with a slash. So, in other words

1

19

20

21

22

1 they're saying "no" to rural sewers. The reason I've come today -- I've 2 3 actually waited six years to come, and there's some things I want to talk about. What I want 5 to talk about is because we assume that 6 someone's doing their job. We assume that if 7 you put a rural sewer system into a community, that that will go someplace to where they're 8 9 doing their job. 10 I was a young man when I started my business with a dairy. One of the first things 11 12 I tried to do was come up with a farm plan that 13 would cover up my lots and keep manure from -that's on the open lots away from the rain, and 14 15 I tried to do that year after year. What I was trying to do was use creative thinking. This 16 17 book says, on page 320, that you should use creative thinking in sewer developments. 18 19 At a federal level, they will address 20 problems towards the farm in magazine articles 21 such as this, and they will talk about the farm 22 being a polluter, and what we're talking about 23 tonight is something that's being done as a

```
1
        result of a perception of pollution. And there
        are problems out there. There are problems on
 3
        my farm that I have to deal with, and I try to
 4
        control them.
 5
                But five years ago I came into this
        room, and we were remodeling -- I'm a school
 6
 7
        board member here -- and there was a fish
        hanging up on the front of this, a paper fish,
 8
        and I asked the contractors, I said, "What's
 9
        the fish for?" They laughed and said, "Oh, you
10
11
        should have been in here. There was -- all of
12
        your seats here were floating around. We were
13
        remodeling this. You had three foot of water
        here one day." And I said, "What do you mean,
14
15
        that I had three foot of water in this room?"
        They said, "Well, you did. We pumped it out."
16
                So, that became -- that become a
17
        search, and I started just paying more
18
19
        attention to the city sewer system, and what I
20
        found was this story I'm about to tell you.
21
        Some sewers are a mess. The city may see
22
        higher sewer rates. I wrote these letters to
        the City Council, which I'm presenting as
23
```

```
1
        evidence here tonight.
 2
                    THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
                    MR. SPURGEON: Because what we
 3
        assume is that everybody's doing their job.
 4
 5
        And I'm not just talking about Seymour. I'm
        talking about Columbus, Indiana; I'm talking
 6
        about North Vernon; I'm talking about
 7
        Shelbyville; I'm talking about New Albany; I'm
 8
 9
        talking about Austin; I'm talking about every
        little community in the state has got some kind
10
11
        of a city problem, that they want to put a
        rural sewer system in.
12
13
                And most of those homes are miles and
        miles away from a river, but I can show you
14
        where every city has got a pipe that goes right
15
        to the river, installed to bypass pollution.
16
17
        It's called point pollution. That's what we
        farmers call it.
18
19
                So, my hunt began like this. There's
20
        an architectural study on the problem. There's
        school plans. I went to the state, got these
21
        informations. One of the fears in this whole
22
```

district, add more sewage to the city, and then 1 2 there's a fear of a sewer ban. So, this talks 3 about sewer bans. I can tall talk to you about the State Revolving Fund. This is how you should fund 5 6 good sewer programs. You need to use your 7 State Revolving Fund, because this comes from federal matching grants. Well, sometimes our 8 politicians get a little creative. And the 9 Jennings Northwest Regional Sewer District is 10 on this, but they're not under -- in this plan, 11 12 there's no place for them to be, except they're there. It's an unclassified spot. 13 14 So, my point here is that politicians can be pretty creative if they really want to. 15 16 You go to the city, and, of course -- or 17 actually you go to EMC, and they will provide you with nothing. They're a private company. 18

information. So, I have to go to the city.

They cannot provide you with public

19

21 The city doesn't know what I'm talking about, 22 and they send me back to the sewer department.

You call them and they say they won't give it

23

- 1 to you, so then you go to the state.
- 2 So, all of this information has been
- 3 accumulated using the Indiana Open Door Law,
- 4 but I have to go to the state normally to get
- 5 it. And on this one, this is an IDEM report,
- 6 and it says -- I want to read this sentence --
- 7 "In some cases these calculated flow volumes
- 8 may be under -- or overestimated." No, folks;
- 9 actually they're underestimated, because you
- 10 have CSO's that are out of town, but when it
- 11 rains hard, it's backing up, it's flowing out
- 12 across, and you have a mixture of sewage in
- 13 that water a lot of times.
- So, then you go and you get their
- 15 capital asset management plans. An aggressive
- 16 preventive maintenance program is not going to
- 17 clean all sewers on a regularly scheduled
- 18 timetable. Well, I know for a fact that this

sewer here wasn't cleaned forever, because it

was plugged up at the end of the line. There

was sand. I have documentation and proof.

So, as we create these new rules and

regulations, what I'm saying is that ultimately

34

you create a rural sewer district. That's what 1 2 happens. If you condemn everybody's sewer 3 systems, then you'll put a rural sewer system through. Well, where does it go? Are we going 4 5 to build rural sewer plants? No, normally you'll find a city sewer system. And when you 6 7 do, you just create a bigger problem. 8 So, then you go and you say, "Well, if 9 I could draft this thing and totally understand 10 it, so what I need is I need rainfall amounts," so you go to the person who collects all of 11 12 that, and that would be Ruth Everhart, and 13 she's happy to provide you with weather records. That's -- she loves doing that. This 14 15 is for proof that these records are true. 16 Then you go to the state and you pick

up all of this, and what you find is that 53

months out of 60, we've sent some sewage

downstream. Every time it rains in this town,

two, two and half inches, inch and a quarter,

depending on how fast it happens, then you send

sewage downstream. So, what is the -- what's

happening to our environment?

35

1 As a farmer, I live many miles from a 2 major waterway. After this leaves my barn, it 3 has to travel a long distance. I have neighbors with sewage water that drains across 4 5 me also, from drains and various things. 6 What I'm saying is that the state needs 7 to first -- and this is my message -- the state needs to first worry about the big problems 9 before you come out here and worry about the 10 little things that, when you get all done, you're still going to have the big problem that 11 12 you've always had. You look at Indianapolis, Indiana. 13 14 They have serious sewer problems. Folks, the

15 Wabash is polluted. It's not been polluted by a little sewage tax on three- and five-acre 16 17 lots. So, we have to go through a system of 18 improving the state's environment in a way that 19 makes sense. In 1972, the Clean Water Act was 20 21 developed to help local control do a better job, and that's what's happening right now. 22 When I first got involved in this -- this is 23

36

started this six years ago. And when I was 2 talking to Reggie Baker and Dave Tenace -- I 3 4 don't know if you recognize those names or not, but those are people at IDEM, and they would 5 tell me about things that would be happening in the future. This meeting was one of them. 8 This all started as a federal law that 9 come about in the last year. The federal laws 10 are now kicking in and making cities like Seymour create a comprehensive plan that's part 11 12 of a motion, but we have to have a plan of

what kind of excites me about this, because I

13 action for growth. 14 Thank you. 1.5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Did you want 16 to leave any of that with me? MR. SPURGEON: Ma'am, for the last 17 two years, I have never looked at this 18 19 information. For three years it bugged me real 20 bad, and two years ago I put it in my farm file 21 desk and just left it alone. So, the reason I

22

23

1

came this morning is -- but I haven't looked at

it for two years, so I have no copies to leave

37

you except these letters. You're welcome to 2 any or all of it, except I would have to copy 3 it. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, 5 if you would like to copy it and mail it to me, 6 if you --7 MR. SPURGEON: Sure. THE HEARING OFFICER: -- would like 8 it to be in the record, you may do that. Did 9 10 you get the address at the beginning?

11 MR. SPURGEON: I'll get it before I 12 leave. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you very much. 14 MR. SPURGEON: Thank you. 15 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there 17 anyone else here that would like to be heard? MR. BOWEN: Ms. Berg? 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. 19 MR. BOWEN: Is there an opportunity 20 21 to ask questions and receive answers? THE HEARING OFFICER: Not from 22 23 myself, because --

| 1 | MR. BOWEN: Well, I mean |
|---|--|
| 2 | THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm not a |
| 3 | Board of Health person. I don't know |
| 4 | MR. BOWEN: from some of the |
| 5 | other folks. |
| 6 | THE HEARING OFFICER: Not in a |
| 7 | public debate forum, but I'm sure that the |
| 8 | staff well, I'm guessing the staff people |

9 here would be willing to have a discussion with 10 you and try and answer your questions. 11 Is that -- would you be willing to talk 12 to this gentleman today? 13 MR. DUNN: (Nodded head yes.) MR. BOWEN: You want to do it after 14 the hearing, then? 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, please. 16 17 Thank you. Anyone else today at all? 18 19 (No response.) 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Seeing 21 and hearing no one else who cares to be heard 22 at this time, I want to thank each of you for 23 your presentation and for coming today. My

39

report of the hearing will be in writing to the
Executive Board of the Indiana State Department
of Health for their consideration before final
adoption.

These proceedings pursuant to notice
are hereby concluded. This cause is therefore

| 7 | adjourned until final order of the Executive |
|----|---|
| 8 | Board. |
| 9 | Thank you all for coming. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Thereupon, the proceedings of August 6, 2003 were concluded |
| 12 | at 10:56 o'clock a.m. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|---|--|
| 2 | I, Lindy L. Meyer, Jr., the undersigned |
| 3 | Court Reporter and Notary Public residing in |
| 1 | the City of Shelhywille Shelhy County |

| 5 | Indiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing |
|----|--|
| 6 | is a true and correct transcript of the |
| 7 | proceedings taken by me on Wednesday, August 6 |
| 8 | 2003 in this matter and transcribed by me. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Lindy L. Meyer, Jr., |
| 12 | Notary Public in and |
| 13 | for the State of Indiana. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | My Commission expires October 27, 2008. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |